

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/023,350	12/20/2001	Darin A. Chan	50432-528	5761
7590 02/24/2004		EXAMINER		
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY			NGUYEN, DAO H	
600 13th Street	, N.W.			
Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2818	

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

olicant(s)					
AN ET AL. $$					
Unit					
8					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 10 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
l rejection, whichever is later. In no inal rejection. AL REJECTION. See MPEP					
and the appropriate extension fee The appropriate extension fee under hal Office action; or (2) as set forth in he even if timely filed, may reduce any					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. With regard to the Request for Withdrawal of the Finality dated 12/10/2003, Applicant is noted that the Final Rejection dated 12/10/2003 was made due to the Amendment filed 07/21/2003, not the one filed 10/14/2003.

David Nelms
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800